Table of Contents

1. Introduction 1
    1.1. Background 1
    1.2. Purpose 1
    1.3. Scope 1
    1.4. Audience 2
2. Analysis Normal Form 2
    2.1. Isosemantic Models 2
    2.2. Clinical Statements – What are they, and *how are they used*? 2
    2.3. Types of Clinical Statements 3
3. ANF Modeling Principles 5
4. ANF Reference Model 7
    4.1. Clinical Statement 7
    4.2. Measure and Result 12
5. Differences between ANF and CIF 15
    5.1. The Representation of Topic 15
    5.2. The Representation of Results 15
6. Clinical Statement Examples 17
    6.1. Request : Medication Order 17
    6.2. Examples of Modeling Performance Clinical Statements 18
    6.3. Examples of Modeling Request Clinical Statements 19
7. ANF Modeling Overview 20
    7.1. Terminology Modeling Guidelines 20

1. Introduction

1.1. Background

The Clinical Information Modeling Initiative (CIMI) is an HL7 group that is defining a library of detailed clinical information models using a common modeling formalism. CIMI was established to improve the interoperability of healthcare information systems through shared implementable clinical information models that can be used to generate platform-specific model specifications such as FHIR profiles or CDA templates. These models are grouped into semantically equivalent (or ‘isosemantic’) families of clinical models, which capture the same clinical meaning using different combinations of concept pre-coordination and corresponding information model structure.

Here, we introduce a new isosemantic representation that is designed to aid in the analysis of clinical information. This representation is called Analysis Normal Form (ANF).

1.2. Purpose

The purpose of this document is to inform the CIMI community about ANF and why it is needed as an additional standard isosemantic representation of models within CIMI.

1.3. Scope

This document will present the specification of the Analysis Normal Form (ANF), how it is used to create detailed clinical models (DCMs), and how it differs from CIMI's current standard modeling paradigm.

1.4. Audience

The intended audience for this document are all HL7 members.

2. Analysis Normal Form

2.1. Isosemantic Models

Ideally, clinical information is represented in a manner that is most efficient for use. The problem is that users have many different requirements for clinical information, thus no single representation can be the most efficient representation for all the various use cases. Thus, maximum efficiency for each use case necessitates that any particular clinical information be available in multiple representations. Although different in form, each of these different representations semantically model the same information. These are known as isosemantic models and any particualar detailed clinical model exists within a family of isosemantic siblings.

CIMI recognizes and supports the existance of isosemantic models and designates one isosemantic sibling as the CIMI 'preferred' model. The problem is that CIMI has not stated for what use case this model is preferred. The choice of a 'preferred' sibling was orignally meant to facilitate transformation into other isosemantic family members. It is the sibling that all CIMI contributors should support as the common pathway of transformation between isosemantic family members. Unfortunately, this original intent has been somewhat clouded into 'preferred' meaning the most commonly used. Although, CIMI does prefer a model which is the most post-coordinated which benefits transformation. In general, the 'preferred' model seems to gravitate toward the use case of clinician data input. It obviously does not gravitate all the way, because if that were the case, CIMI would be preferring models with more pre-coordinated valuesets. The result is that CIMI is mixing the ingredients of transformation, clinical data input, clinical data storage, clinical data querying, clinical data analysis, and random modeler preference to bake a cake called the CIMI 'preferred' model.

CIMI needs to clearly define the use case or use cases for any particular isosemantic family member. The moniker of 'preferred' should be abandoned. If the original intent of 'preferred' was to designate the common transformation member, then CIMI should use a term like the 'rosetta' member within an isosemantic family. Or if the original intent for an isosemantic member was to support clinician data input, state that as the use case.

Here we address the use case of clincial data analysis and present the Analysis Normal Form (ANF) which will represent one member in each isosemantic family of detailed clinical models.

2.2. Clinical Statements – What are they, and *how are they used*?

A clinical statement represents an entry in the patient record that documents in a structured/computable manner clinical information related to the patient that is asserted by a particular source, recorded, and potentially verified.

Clinicians author clinical statements and enter them into their organization’s electronic health record (EHR). Clinicians typically enter the information via a manner that we call here the clinical input form (CIF). However, the CIF is not a literal form that clinicians select and enter data in. Rather, it refers to the manner in which information is presented to the clinicians and how they enter the data, such as by constraining the information to allow only certain values to be entered, such as through a drop-down list or radio button, or breaking up large chunks of related information into smaller parts. For example, when a clinician orders a medication, rather than selecting this information all at once with a single item, they will choose the various parts of the medication order, such as:

- Kind of drug and strength (e.g., Acetaminophen 150 mg)
- Amount and how often the patient should take the medication (e.g., 1 tablet twice daily)
- Duration (2 days)
- Any constraints (e.g., do not exceed a total daily dosage of 600 mg)

Ideally, the way the information is presented to clinicians is in a manner that is most efficient for the clinicians to use. However, what is an efficient way for clinicians to select and enter data may not be the most efficient way for data analysts to use when they are querying data once it has been normalized and stored in a database, such as when creating a new CDS rule or compiling prevalence statistics. For this, the data is normalized using the *Analysis Normal Form (ANF)* and stored in a database. Again, the ANF is not necessarily a physical structure, but is how a data analyst might see the data when they are looking at it in a database, and not as clinicians would see it in the user interface (i.e., CIF).

- Clinician collects data $\Rightarrow$ Clinical Input Form
- Data is normalized $\Rightarrow$ Transformation process from CIF to ANF $\Rightarrow$ Representable/storable in multiple types of databases.
- Data analyst who is using or querying the data (e.g., creating a CDS rule or working on prevalence statistics) $\Rightarrow$ ANF (it is how the data is represented or stored in the database; must know enough about the data to know what is stored in the topic vs. what is stored as a result or detail)

The goal of ANF is to enable analysts to understand the data and how it is stored in lieu of having to teach them about the thousands of ways data can exist within an isosemantic family (i.e., CIF) and ensure the data that has to be expressed can be expressed in an operable and scalable way. The more that data is normalized, the simpler it will become to analyze, and the likelihood of analysis errors will be reduced. Without the ANF, the probability of patient safety risks is increased. Examples of problems that can occur are:

- **An inability to determine that two clinical statements are equivalent**
  - Taking two 250 mg acetaminophen tablets is the same as taking one 500 mg tablet but the analyst only queries for one of the statements, not both.
  - Presence of dot blot hemorrhage and 2 dot blot hemorrhages observed are equal in regard to presence and absence but the analyst queries only for presence vs. a quantitative finding of dot blot hemorrhages.
- **An inability to express something that is clinically significant**
  - We may not be able to express chest pain on inspiration, which can be a sign of pleurisy. The ability to differentiate cardiac chest pain from other types of chest pain is clinically important. An example of something that needs to be represented is *chest pain that worsens when you breathe, cough, or sneeze*.
- **An error is made in recording or in querying a repository for clinical statements**
  - On October 1, 2016, a provider enters a medication order for acetaminophen 250 mg for a patient to take 1 tablet twice daily for 2 days starting October 1, 2016
    - CIF: Provider enters the medication order
    - ANF: Analyst creates a CDS rule to identify all patients ordered acetaminophen during the period September 1 – December 31, 2016. However, while the analyst creates a query to search for a clinical statement (i.e., Request) where acetaminophen was the direct substance and was ordered during the period September 1 – December 31, 2016, the analyst did not include a Request topic of “Administration of drug or medication PO BID for pain.” Thus, the medication order would not be included in the query results.

2.3. Types of Clinical Statements

The types of clinical statements represented in ANF are listed and described below. The rationale for selecting these types is: Clinicians basically do two categories of things with a patient that need to be documented as clinical statements:

1. **Performance of action**: Actions may include passive observation of a phenomenon related to patients and their health status or family history, and may also include active interventions, such as providing education or administering medications or documenting that a patient is participating in exercise to improve their overall health status.

2. **Request for action**: Requests for future actions may include defining goals, consultation with other providers, or active interventions.

NOTE: Given that this work is not yet finalized, it is possible that additional clinical statement types may be needed.

2.3.1. Performance Clinical Statements

A performance statement describes an action that has previously been performed, and – if applicable - the results of that action. As shown in the examples below, this can range from documenting that a subject of record:

- Was observed to have the presence or absence of a clinical phenomenon
- Underwent a specific test/screening or procedure, and its resultant value, if any
- Was administered a medication or other substance
- Was provided educational materials
- Has any other state or specific characteristic that is clinically relevant

If the performance statement:

- Regards a measurement that was taken, all information about that measurement will be included as part of the clinical statement, such as its value and unit of measure and any details about how the measurement was taken.
- Results in an order(s) placed during the same encounter that was made to learn more about the phenomenon or to monitor it, then a link will be made to the order(s).

Examples of performance clinical statements:

1. Systolic blood pressure of 120 mmHg taken from right brachial artery while seated and no more than 30 minutes after the patient last urinated
2. Diabetes mellitus is present
3. Diabetes mellitus is not present
4. Three dot blot hemorrhages
5. Dot blot hemorrhage is present
6. Patient taking one Acetaminophen 100 mg tablet by mouth daily as needed for pain
7. Positive screen for fall risk
8. Negative screen for PTSD and depression
9. Family history of colon cancer
10. Patient provided educational materials on pre-diabetes diagnosis
11. Patient counseled on the health risks of continuing smoking

2.3.2. Request Clinical Statements

A Request clinical statement describes a request for an action made by a clinician. Most of the times, but not always, the object of the request (e.g., lab test, medication order) will be fulfilled by someone other than the clinician (e.g., lab technician, pharmacist) making the request. All information about the request will be documented in this clinical statement, including information about details relating to the request, such as patient must fast for 12 hours before having a lipids blood test.

Examples of Request clinical statements:

1. Lipids panel for patient Jane Doe. Patient must fast for 12 hours prior to the blood test.
2. Head CT with contrast for patient John Doe.
3. Cardiology referral for patient Mary Smith.
4. Penicillin medication for patient Michael Smith to be taken twice a day by mouth with food for 10 days.
5. Advised to participate in group tobacco cessation counseling once a week.
6. Advised to lose 15 pounds within 3 months.
7. Advised to exercise at least 3 times a week for 30 minutes per day for 3 months.
8. Advised to decrease the number of packs smoked per day from 3 to 2 within 6 months by using a nicotine patch.

3. ANF Modeling Principles

A. **Separation of Concerns:**As defined by Wikipedia [1]: Separation of Concerns (SoC) is a design principle for separating a computer program into distinct sections, such that each section addresses a separate concern. A concern is a set of information that affects the code of a computer program. A concern can be as general as the details of the hardware the code is being optimized for, or as specific as the name of a class to instantiate. A program that embodies SoC well is called a modular program. Modularity, and hence separation of concerns, is achieved by encapsulating information inside a section of code that has a well-defined interface. Encapsulation is a means of information hiding. Layered designs in information systems are another embodiment of separation of concerns (e.g., presentation layer, business logic layer, data access layer, persistence layer). The value of separation of concerns is simplifying development and maintenance of computer programs. When concerns are well-separated, individual sections can be reused, as well as developed and updated independently. Of special value is the ability to later improve or modify one section of code without having to know the details of the other sections, and without having to make corresponding changes to those sections.

   The use of immutable objects (see principle B Immutability below) is a technique that fulfills the Separation of Concerns principle.

   Attributes that describe specific semantic concepts should be grouped together into a single class and not be spread across a number of classes. Doing the latter leads to tight coupling between classes. Doing the former leads to better decomposition of a potentially complex domain.
   - **Example:**Attributes for a Role (e.g., Practitioner) should not be mixed with attributes for an Entity (e.g., Person). This allows a person to assume a number of roles over their lifetime or to function in more than one role.

B. **Immutability:** An Immutable Object as defined by Wikipedia [2]: Used in object-oriented and functional programming, an immutable object is something that cannot be changed after it is created, in contrast to mutable objects that can be changed after they are created. There are multiple reasons for using immutable objects, including improved readability and runtime efficiency and higher security.

   Although building immutable objects requires a bit more up-front complexity, the downstream simplification forced by this abstraction easily offsets the effort. One of the benefits of switching to a functional mindset is the realization that tests exist to check that changes occur successfully in code. In other words, testing's real purpose is to validate mutation – and the more mutation you have, the more testing is required to make sure you get it right. If you isolate the places where changes occur by severely restricting mutation, you create a much smaller space for errors to occur and have few plates to test.

   Finally, one of the best features of immutable classes is how well they fit into the composition abstraction.

[1] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Separation_of_concerns
[2] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/immutable_object

C. **Composition Over Inheritance:** Composition over inheritance (or composite reuse principle) in object-oriented programming is the principle that classes should achieve polymorphic behavior and code reuse by their composition (by containing those instances of other classes that implement the desired functionality) rather than inheritance from a base or parent class.

   To favor composition over inheritance is a design principle that gives the design higher flexibility. It is more natural to build business-domain classes out of various components than trying to find commonality between them and creating a family tree.

   Initial design is simplified by identifying system object behaviors in separate interfaces instead of creating a hierarchical relationship to distribute behaviors among business-domain classes via inheritance. This approach more easily accommodates future requirements changes that would otherwise require a complete restructuring of business-domain classes in the inheritance model.

   Item for Consideration: *Should we say that we only allow inheritance for a single concern, i.e., we can subtype measurement but not subtype a combination of statement type and measurement type?*

D. **ANF Clinical Statements Represent the Minimum Disjoint Set:** Analysis Normal Form (ANF) clinical statements represent the minimum disjoint set of statement topic, result, and circumstance and may not be further specified.

E. **ANF Classes Cleanly Separate Concerns:** Analysis Normal Form (ANF) classes must cleanly separate the concerns of concept definition and the concerns of domain models.
   - ***NOTE:*** *Need to define the domain models thoroughly here.*The strawman description is that domain models use concept definitions as a building block to define non-defining relationships or associations between concepts. The domain model represents cardinality, optionality, and other constraints.
     - **Example:**Laterality should be a concern of either the concept definition or the domain model, but not both. We can relax this principle for the Clinical Input Form (CIF) but for ANF we need a clean and invariant separation of concerns.
   - **NOTE:**Need to determine better names for “concept definition” and “domain models.”

F. **Clinical Statement Model Stability:**Stability is different from immutability. Stable means that the model can still meet unanticipated requirements without having to change. It is not acceptable to change the model every time a new way to administer a drug or to treat a condition is identified. By representing these types of potentially dynamic concerns in the terminology expressions, as opposed to static fields in a class structure, we do not have to change the model every time something new is discovered. As Terry Winograd said, anticipating breakdowns, and providing a space for action when they occur, is a design imperative.

   In some regards, in this context “stable” means “not brittle.” A model easily broken by changes that someone could anticipate is one possible definition of brittle. A stable model is critical in the phase of a known changing landscape. We do that by isolating areas of anticipated change into a dynamic data structure. That dynamic data structure may also be immutable in an object that represents a clinical statement.

G. **Overall Model Simplicity:** In cases where different principles collide, we shall favor the enhancement of simplicity of the entire system over simplicity in one area of the system.

H. **Cohesion:** Related classes should reside in the same module or construction. The placement of a class in a module should reduce the dependencies between modules.

I. **Reusability:** Architectural patterns should encourage class reusability where possible. Reusability may further refine encapsulation when composition is considered.

J. **Assumption-free:** Implied semantics must be surfaced explicitly in the model.
   - **Example:** Implicit in the statement, “I order a book from Amazon” are: paying for the book, delivery of the book to some location, and the transfer of ownership of the book from the vendor to the client.

K. **Design by Composition and/or Class Specialization:** The capture of additional model expressivity must be captured by composition and/or by class specialization. The modeling approach should avoid the use of design by constraint (except for terminology binding and attribute type constraints) as it violates proper decoupling and encapsulation. An example of design by constraint is to create a single

procedure class containing all attributes for all known procedures and constraining out irrelevant attributes in a more specialized model. This approach is very difficult to implement and violates numerous object-oriented best practices.

L. **No False Dichotomies:** Dichotomies that are not completely disjoint (mutually exclusive) lead to arbitrary classification rules and result in ambiguity based on different assumptions about the domain. These must be avoided.

M. **Model Should Avoid Semantic Overloading (semantic precision):** Semantic overloading occurs when a model attribute's meaning changes entirely, depending on context. While the refinement of the semantics of an attribute in a subclass is acceptable, a change of meaning is problematic. For instance, in FHIR, the Composition class defines an attribute called Subject. In some subclasses, the attribute may be the entity that this composition refers to (e.g., the patient in a medical record). In other cases, it is the topic being discussed by the composition (e.g., a medication orderable catalog).

N. **Convention Over Configuration:** Convention over configuration (also known as coding by convention) is a software design paradigm used by software frameworks that attempt to decrease the number of decisions that a developer using the framework is required to make without necessarily losing flexibility.

O. **Model Consistency:**Patterns should allow the consistent representation of information that is commonly shared across models. For instance, attribution and participation information should be captured consistently. Failure to do so forces implementers to develop heuristics to capture and normalize attribution information that is represented or extended differently in different classes (e.g., FHIR).

P. **Model Symmetry:** There should be symmetry in the models wherever we can have it.

Q. **Iterative development and validation of model using use cases:**TBD

4. ANF Reference Model

The ANF Reference Model is a small static model that can easily be described with UML, OpenEHR BMM, or FHIR StructureDefintion. Detailed Clinical Models are then described as contraints of this reference model. The core of the model is the class ClinicalStatement seen in Figure 1, "ClinicalStatement".

4.1. Clinical Statement

Figure 1. ClinicalStatement



Clinical Statement is

4.1.1. statementTime

Statement Time describes when the statement was documented in ISO 8601 Date/Time Standard Format

4.1.2. statementId

Statement Identifier is a unique identifier for the statement represented by a UUID.

4.1.3. subjectOfRecordId

Subject of Record Identifier is a unique identifier for the subject of record represented by a UUID. This is the identifier for the patient whose record will contain this statement.

4.1.4. statementAuthor

Statement authors is an optional list of authoring participants (Figure 2, “Participant”). Either a Participant or it's subclass IdentifiedParticipant can be used. Particapant includes a coded *participantRole* for values such as 'Healthcare professional', 'Nurse', or 'Requestor'. IdentifiedParticipant adds the additional attribute *participantId* which is a UUID to uniquely identify the participant.

Figure 2. Participant



4.1.5. subjectOfInformation

Subject of Information is used to express **WHO** the clinical statement is about, e.g. the patient, a family member, or a donor.

4.1.6. statementType

Statement Type distinguishes between a performance ('performed') and a request ('requested'). Performances may be observational performances, e.g. the observation of a clinical finding or disorder being present or absent. They can also be statements of a procedure or intervention, which has been performed on the subject of record in the past, e.g. “12-lead electrocardiogram”. Performances can – but do not have to – include quantitative or qualitative results, e.g. “3 dot blot hemorrhages” or “Hepatitis A antibody positive”.

4.1.7. topic

Topic is the expression of **WHAT** is being requested or what was performed. For both clinical statement types (request or performance) a pre-coordinated or post-coordinated SOLOR “procedure” concept as a logical expression is required to sufficiently capture the action, which is either requested or performed.

Requests for actions are always procedures or interventions:

- Stress echocardiogram

- Administration of Aspirin 81 mg oral tablet
- Systolic blood pressure measurement

Performances of actions can be performed procedures like the examples above. They can also be observational procedures, describing the absence or presence of clinical findings or disorders. In these cases, the observation action of the clinical findings and disorders is performed:

- Congestive heart failure
- History of malignant neoplasm of bone
- Numbness of left arm
- History of cognitive behavioral therapy

The topic is the central component of clinical statements.

- **Proposed Principle 1:** The topic defines the action being performed or requested.
- **Proposed Principle 2:** The topic has to be able to exist on its own and still retain original intent and clarity of meaning.
- **Proposed Principle 3:** The topic includes what is being measured or observed.
- **Proposed Principle 4:** Each clinical statement may only have one topic.

4.1.8. circumstance

Figure 3. Circumstance and subtypes

Circumstances can describe **HOW**, **WHY** and **WHEN** a requested or performed action will be or was carried out. Requests and performances have some shared circumstances:

- Timing: **WHEN** a requested action should be performed or **WHEN** an observed finding or disorder was present or absent.

  - Examples:
    - Cardiology Consult in 2 weeks
    - Breast cancer screening 3 months ago
- Purpose: **WHY** an action was requested or performed
  - Examples:
    - Echocardiogram to evaluate arrhythmia
    - Education about allergens for anaphylaxis management

Other circumstances are specific to requests or performances.

4.1.8.1. RequestCircumstance

Request circumstance further specifies **HOW** a requested action is to be performed, e.g. how often or how long.

Figure 4. RequestCircumstance

4.1.8.1.1. conditionalTrigger

TBD

4.1.8.1.2. requestedParticipant

Requested participants is an optional list of either specific persons or roles who perform an action, assist in performing an action or are targets of an action.

Examples:

- Cardiology consultation with Chief Cardiologist
- Smoking cessation education with patient and patient's spouse

4.1.8.1.3. priortity

Priority expresses the priority with which a requested action has to be carried out, e.g. "routine" or "stat".

4.1.8.1.4. repetition

Figure 5. Repetition

Name	Flags	Card.	Type
Repetition			
periodStart		1..1	http://opencimi.org/cimi/StructureDefinition/Measure
periodDuration		1..1	http://opencimi.org/cimi/StructureDefinition/Measure
eventFrequency		1..1	http://opencimi.org/cimi/StructureDefinition/Interval
eventSeparation		1..1	http://opencimi.org/cimi/StructureDefinition/Measure
eventDuration		0..1	http://opencimi.org/cimi/StructureDefinition/Measure

Repetition is used to describe when an action is requested for more than a single occurrence

- When the repeated action should begin (periodStart), e.g. NOW
- How long the repetitions should persist (periodDuration), e.g. for 3 weeks
- How often the action should occur (eventFrequency), e.g. 3 times per week
- How long between events (eventSeparation), e.g. for 2 weeks
- How long every occurrence should last (eventDuration), e.g. for 5 minutes

4.1.8.1.5. requestedResult

A requested result is a patient goal to be achieved or a request for action further specified or quantified.

Examples:

- Narrative: Administration of Metoprolol tartrate 50 mg oral daily 2 times to lower systolic blood pressure to <130 mmHg
- Narrative: Diltiazem 30 mg, one tablet oral daily 4 times

4.1.8.2. PerformanceCircumstance

Performance Circumstance specifies the result of the performance.

Figure 6. PerformanceCircumstance

Name	Flags	Card.	Type
PerformanceCircumstance			
result		1..1	http://opencimi.org/cimi/StructureDefinition/Result
performanceParticipant		1..*	http://opencimi.org/cimi/StructureDefinition/IdentifiedParticipant

4.1.8.2.1. result

Result of diagnostic or observational procedures. There are two types of results shown in Figure 9, “Result Hierarchy” which are InterventionResult and ObservationResult.

Examples:

- Narrative: Systolic blood pressure 120 mmHg
- Narrative: Body weight 165 pounds

4.1.8.2.2. performanceParticipant

Participants in performing the action, e.g. technician, nurse

4.1.8.3. UnstructuredCircumstance

Unstructured Circumstance is used to document additional parts of clinical statements, which are not necessary for accurate data coding or retrieval.

Figure 7. UnstructuredCircumstance



4.1.8.3.1. unstructuredText

Text field to document unstructured circumstances.

4.1.9. statementAssociation

Statement associations enable this clinical statement to link to other clinical statements. They are part of the narrative, but are not considered part of the topic. They can further specify, e.g. instructions that apply to the performance of an action. If the topic is a laboratory result panel, each association would point to another statement which is a laboratory result.

Figure 8. StatementAssociation

4.1.9.1. associationSemantic

Association semantic is a logical expression to capture how the target statement is associated.

4.1.9.2. associatedStatementId

Associated Statement Id is a UUID to identify associated statements. This UUID is the statementId of the target ClinicalStatement

4.2. Measure and Result

A unique aspect of the the ANF Model is that all measures and results are numeric ranges. The hierarchy of classes to represent these is shown in Figure 9, “Result Hierarchy”. An important point to notice in this class diagram is that the ANF Model does not allow coded results, only a numeric interval is possible.

Figure 9. Result Hierarchy



4.2.1. Measure

Measure captures measurable elements of clinical statements, e.g. the results of test procedures, time periods, frequencies of repetitions for procedures or medication administrations. Note that the inherited attributes from the Interval class will be discussed here.

4.2.1.1. lowerBound

Lower bound represents the lower bound of a measurable element. This can be the lower bound of a range: For the “Administration of 25 to 50 mg of medication X” the lower bound is 25. For a test result, which is not a range, lower and upper bound are the same. Example: systolic blood pressure 110 mmHg. The lower and upper bound are both 110 mmHg.

4.2.1.2. upperBound

Upper bound epresents the upper bound of a measurable element. This can be the upper boundary of a range: For the “Administration of 200 to 250 mg Acetaminophen” the upper bound is 50 mg. In cases, where the measurable element does not represent a range, upper and lower bound have the same value.

4.2.1.3. includeLowerBound

Include lower bound states whether the lower bound in the interval is included in the interval. In the examples above, the lower bound has to be included. The lower range dose of 200 mg Acetaminophen dose can be administered.

The inclusion or exclusion of lower bound is needed to express measurable elements which include relative properties, such as “greater than”, “less than” and others. Example: “Persistent cough for more than 10 days”. If a lower bound of “10” is chosen, it would not be included, because the example states: more than 10 days. Choosing “11” would require to include the lower bound.

4.2.1.4. includeUpperBound

Include upper bound states whether the upper bound in the interval is included in the interval. Similar to lower bound, where the measurable element has relative properties, the same rules apply. If the upper bound of a measure is not defined, e.g. “blood glucose measurement daily for at least 2 weeks”, the upper bound will be captured as “inf” (infinite). Infinite as an upper bound is never included.

4.2.1.5. resolution

The Resolution within a measure defines the possible or allowed increments in which the measured “thing” can be counted. In the example of the systolic blood pressure of 110 mmHg, the resolution is “1”, because the blood pressure measurement result can be counted in 1 mmHg increments. The Resolution is not always defined or known. Example: a clinical statement like “History of breast cancer” implies an undefined amount of time in the past and it is not stated, if it is years, months, etc.

4.2.1.6. measureSemantic

Measure semantic represents distinct units of what is being measured in a logical expression. In systolic blood pressure, the measure semantic is a SNOMED CT concept: 259018001 |Millimeter of mercury (qualifier value). For blood glucose measurement daily for 2 weeks, the measure semantic would be “258705008 |week (qualifier value)”. In cases where the measure pertains to something relative to the statement time, as in the example above of “History of breast cancer” the standardized time/date format ISO 8601 is used for the measure semantic: **ISO 8601 prior to statement time**.

4.2.2. InterventionResult

Intervention Result adds the attribute *status* to Measure, which is a coded value representing the current status of the intervention.

Figure 10. Result Hierarchy

4.2.3. ObservationResult

Observation Result adds the attributes *healthRisk* and *normalRange* to Measure. Health Risk is used to flag a result with coded values such as 'low', 'normal', high', and 'crtical'. Normal Range is the interval of values that are normal.

Figure 11. Result Hierarchy

5. Differences between ANF and CIF

There are two fundamental differences between the ANF and CIF approach. The first is the representation of topic, and the second is the representation of results.

1. The representation of topic.
2. The represenation of results.

5.1. The Representation of Topic

In the ANF model, the topic is represented by a single field containing a simple to complex SNOMED expression whereas in the CIF model, all the pieces of information that make up the topic are broken out and structured as needed into multiple properties with propery names and appropriate datatypes.

Figure 12. Topic Comparison



One implication of this is that the ANF is using two formalisms to represent the detailed clinical model. First it uses the formalism that represents the ANF reference model and constraints such as HL7's StructureDefinition syntax or OpenEHR's BMM/ADL syntax. Second, it uses SNOMED's syntax for post-coordinated SNOMED expressions. Tools for authoring and analysis would be required to parse and process both syntaxes.

The CIF model, on the otherhand, would be fully represented using the formalism that represents the CIF reference model and contraints such as HL7's StructureDefinition syntax or OpenEHR's BMM/ADL syntax.

5.2. The Representation of Results

In the CIMI CIF model, EvaluationResult and Assertion models are used to represent results. EvaluationResult has a topic representing what is being observed, and a result represented by a choice of datatypes.

An Assertion on the otherhand, has simply a topic with a value of 'assertion', and a result stated what is being asserted.

In the ANF moded, the topic represents what is being observed and the result may only be a range of either a count or quantity. No coded results are allowed.

In the CIF model, when creating a model with a numeric result, the choice is quite clear and the choice will be an EvaluationResult, such as a topic of 'SerumSodium' and result with a numeric quantity. In this case, the CIF and ANF model or very aligned, except for the fact that the ANF model will use a range of that quantity.

But when a CIF model has a potential coded result, the choice between EvaluationResult and Assertion becomes muddied. For example, a model for Breath Sound could be an EvaluationResult with a topic of 'breath sound' and a coded rusult with the following valueset. Thus any of the breath sounds within the valueset can act as a result for this model. The other option, is that each of the breath sounds in the valueset is modeled as an Assertion with a topic of 'assertion', and a result of each particular code. To decided which model is better, usually we ponder how the clinician thinks about the data, or how it will be collected, or how it will be queried.

The ANF model can not do an EvaluationResult style model as it doesn't allow code results. Thus ANF is forced to make one and only choice, which is an assertion style where the particular breath sound is the topic, and the result will be numeric count indicating presence or absence.

- Absent
- Audible
- Clear
- Coarse Breath Sounds
- Coarse Crackles
- Crackles
- Diminished
- Expiratory wheezing
- Faint
- Fine Crackles
- Forced
- Inspiratory wheezing
- Left Ventricular Assist Device Noise
- Markedly Decreased
- Moderately Decreased
- Pleural Rub
- Prolonged Expiration
- Rhonchi
- Slightly Decreased
- Stridor
- Tubular Breath Sounds
- Upper Airway Congestion
- Wheeze

When querying instance data, the Assertion or ANF style is much more difficult for things like breath sounds. To query any breath sound instances, you have knowledge of all possible breath sound topics and query for each. With the EvaluationResult style, querying is simpler as you simply query for a topic of 'breath sound', and the code result tells you what type of breath sound it is. Thus you do not have to know all the members of the valueset apriori to form the query.

6. Clinical Statement Examples

6.1. Request : Medication Order

Table 1. Example of a Medication Order

<table>
<tr><th colspan="3">Clinical Statement</th></tr>
<tr><td colspan="3">Narrative: Ibuprofen 400 mg tablet oral every 6 hours as needed for back pain; may increase dose frequency to one tablet every 4 hours

Statement type: [Request]

Subject of info: [410604004 |Subject of record]

Authors: [223366009|Healthcare professional]

Topic: [71388002|Procedure]-

(260686004|Method) # [129445006|Administration - action]

(363701004|Direct substance) # [197805|Ibuprofen 400 MG Oral Tablet]

(410675002|Route of administration) # [260548002|Oral]</td></tr>
<tr><td>Circumstance:</td><td colspan="2">Request Circumstance</td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td colspan="2">Timing: [2007-04-05T14:30Z, 2007-04-05T15:00Z]±P5M [ISO 8601]

Purposes: [161891005 |Backache (finding)]

Triggers: Ø associate statement backache present Participants: [410604004 |Subject of record]

Priority: [50811001 |Routine (qualifier value)]</td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td>Repetitions:</td><td>Repetition</td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td></td><td>Start: Duration: Frequency: Maximum: Duration:</td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td colspan="2">Result: 4</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="3">Associations: Ø

Statement time: [2007-04-05T14:30Z, 2007-04-05T15:00Z]±P5M [ISO 8601]

Stamp coordinate: [SOLOR Module] , [Release Path] , 2007-04-05T14:30Z Statement id: a3b46565-f8cd-4354-b4b6-3dff42d33496

Subject of record Ø ID:</td></tr>
</table>

6.2. Examples of Modeling Performance Clinical Statements

Action Clinical Statement Examples	Topic	Circumstance	Statement Association
1. Systolic blood pressure of 120 mmHg taken from right brachial artery while seated and no more than 30 minutes from when the patient last urinated	Observation of systolic blood pressure on right brachial artery	***Observation Result*** **Value:** [120, 120] **Unit:**mmHg **Resolution:**1	***Associated Statement*** Seated Rested for at least 10 minutes Urinated within 30 minutes of BP being taken
2. Patient has systolic blood pressure of 122 mmHg while patient is seated, right brachial artery	Observation of systolic blood pressure on right brachial artery	***Observation Result*** **Value:** [122, 122] **Unit:**mmHg **Resolution:**1	***Associated Statement*** Seated
3. Patient has systolic blood pressure of 125 mmHg, while patient is seated, adult cuff, 30 minutes or less after emptying bladder, at doctor's office	Observation of systolic blood pressure	***Observation Result*** **Value:** [125, 125] **Unit:**mmHg **Resolution:**1	***Associated Statement*** Adult automated cuff used Seated Urinated within 30 minutes of BP being taken Taken at Doctor's office
4. Patient has thromboembolism history	Observation of thromboembolism	***Observation Result*** **Value:** [1, inf) ***Timing*** **Value:** [1, inf) **measureSemantic:** ISO 8601 prior to statement time	
5. Diabetes Mellitus present	Observation of Diabetes Mellitus present	***Observation Result*** **Value:** [1, inf)	
6. Diabetes Mellitus not present	Observation of Diabetes Mellitus absent	***Observation Result*** **Value:** [0,0]	

Action Clinical Statement Examples	Topic	Circumstance	Statement Association
7. Three dot blot hemorrhages	Observation of Dot blot hemorrhage	***Observation Result*** **Value:** [3,3] **Unit:**count	
8. Dot blot hemorrhage present	Observation of Dot blot hemorrhage	***Observation Result*** **Value:** [1, inf)	

Action Clinical Statement Examples	Topic	Circumstance	Statement Association
9. Positive screen for fall risk	Observation of fall risk	***Observation Result*** **Value:** [1,1] **Unit:**count	
10.Family history (mother) of colon cancer	Observation of colon cancer	**Value:** [1,inf)] **measureSemantic:** ISO 8601 prior to statement time	

6.3. Examples of Modeling Request Clinical Statements

Orders Clinical Statement Examples	Topic	Circumstance	Statement Association
1. Administration of Acetaminophen 100 mg tablet by mouth daily as needed for pain	Administration of Acetaminophen 100 mg tablet oral	***Requested Result*** **Value:** [1,1] **Resolution:**(1) **measureSemantic:**Oral tablet ***Frequency*** Value: [1,inf) **Resolution:**1 **measureSemantic:**day ***Purpose*** Therapeutic; Pain	
2. Request for x-ray chest to evaluate chest pain (routine)	Performance of Chest x-ray	**Priority:**Routine **Purpose:**Evaluation; chest pain	

Orders Clinical Statement Examples	Topic	Circumstance	Statement Association
3. Request for administration of nitroglycerin 0.4 mg tablet sub-lingual every 5 minutes as needed for chest pain; maximum 3 tablets (routine)	Administration of nitroglycerin 0.4 mg tablet sublingual	***Requested Result*** **Value:** [1,1] **Resolution:**(1) **measureSemantic:**Sublingual tablet ***Frequency*** Value: [5,15] **Resolution:**5 **measureSemantic:**minute ***Purpose*** Therapeutic; chest pain **Priority:**Routine	

7. ANF Modeling Overview

ANF provides a set of guidelines to model clinical statements. A *clinical statement* represents an entry in the patient record that documents in a structured/computable manner clinical information about a subject of information, such as a patient or a relative of the patient, and that is asserted by a particular source, recorded, and potentially verified.

ANF defines the principles, which distinguish the “topic” of clinical statements from the “circumstances” of e.g., an action request. The topic describes the “what” whereas the circumstances describe the “how”.

Details of the ANF model for clinical statements and their components have been discussed in previous sections of this document.

7.1. Terminology Modeling Guidelines

The request and performance clinical statement types as described in the ANF Model have a number of shared components. Other components are specific to the statement type. The following sections will define the terminology modeling principles for each component in detail. The choice of logical expressions to use for each component is not always straightforward, and the terms in the SOLOR terminologies are not always unambiguous in their semantic meaning. In situations, where there may be more than one choice or more than one way to code a clinical statement or one of its components, it is important to ensure consistency of modeling approaches across clinical domains and clinical statements.

7.1.1.1. Medication (Request and Performance)

Currently, medications are interpreted as the administration of a medication, not the prescription. The administration can be either requested or documented as being done. Therefore, all medications are post-coordinated based on the SCT “416118004 |Administration (procedure)” concept. To capture the drug itself,

RxNorm codes are used. The specific RxNorm codes depend on the specificity of the IR. Attribute/value pairs needed to fully post-coordinate the expression are SCT concepts.

Example Instance Request:

Naproxen sodium 550 mg tablet oral every 12 hours as needed for back pain 100 tablets 2 refills

Post-coordinated expression with *conceptual graph* [3]syntax:

[416118004 |Administration (procedure)]

->(260686004 |Method (attribute))->[129445006 |Administration - action (qualifier value)]

->(363701004 |Direct substance (attribute))->[Rx;849431 Naproxen sodium 550 MG Oral Tablet]

->(410675002 |Route of administration (attribute))->[260548002 |Oral (qualifier value)]

Notes:

1. The IR is specific enough regarding strength and dose form. Therefore, the RxNorm SCD code can be applied

Figure 13. RxNorm SCD Code



2. Other medication requests or performances are less specific. The IR might only state “Aspirin tablet”. In these cases, the RxNorm SCDG codes are used:

Figure 14. RxNorm SCDG Code



3. If the IR states a class of drugs, e.g. “Glucocorticoids”, the coding approach is cascaded:

   #First choice: SNOMED CT concept from the “product” hierarchy

   #Second choice: NDF-RT code
4. “Route of administration - oral” is included in the post-coordinated expression. Although the RxNorm code includes “oral tablet” it does not sufficiently capture, that this tablet is administered orally.
5. The “Rx;” prefix for the RxNorm code in the post-coordinated expression indicated the terminology standard. Current modeling guideline: All concepts are SNOMED CT concepts, unless otherwise stated.
6. The IR example states: **Naproxen sodium 550 mg tablet oral every 12 hours as needed for back pain 100 tablets 2 refills.** Although it is not explicitly stated, the currently agreed upon policy is to interpret this as: 1 tablet at a time.

Coding guidelines for dosage, frequency, total number of tablets and refills etc. will be discussed in later sections. This detailed information is typically only included in medication **requests** , while **performances** typically only document that the medication has been taken as a “History of….” Statement.

[3]https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Conceptual_graph#Graph-based_knowledge_representation_and_reasoning_model

7.1.1.2. Non-Medication Procedures (Request and Performance)

Other procedures in the “topic” field, e.g. diagnostic procedures, therapeutic procedures, consults or observational procedures are coded as pre-coordinated or post-coordinated expressions using SNOMED CT concepts.

For IRs (either request or performance) a “simple” procedure, e.g. “Echocardiogram”, entering the procedure code “40701008 |Echocardiography (procedure)|” in the topic field sufficiently captures the IR.

For more complex IRs, particularly where body sites or lateralities are included, some principles to ensure consistency in the modeling must be applied.

1. **Always post-coordinate, when “laterality” is involved**
   - There are many pre-coordinated SCT concepts, which include body site and laterality, e.g. “1451000087102 |Computed tomography of right lower limb (procedure)|”, but not all body sites in SCT are lateralized.
     - To achieve consistency in the modeling approach, instead of using the pre-coordinated concept above post-coordinate the body structure and the laterality:

[241570001 |Computed tomography of lower limb (procedure)]-

->(363704007 |Procedure site (attribute))->[61685007 |Lower limb structure (body structure)]-

->(272741003 |Laterality (attribute))->[24028007 |Right (qualifier value)];

2. **For IRs without involving laterality, the choice for coding the topic is cascaded:**
   a. 1 st choice: existing pre-coordinated concept
   b. 2 nd choice: post-coordinated expression, using existing concepts within the

      constraints of the concept model
   c. 3 rd choice: post-coordinated expression, using existing concepts outside the

      constraints of the concept model, after discussion and approval
   d. 4 th choice: new SCT HSPC SOLOR extension precoordinated concept, after

      discussion and approval; use generated UUID until the concept is

      created

7.1.1.3. Observational Procedures (Performance)

In the “performance” tab of TSRs, many of the IRs pertain to the documentation of findings or disorders. These are “observational” procedures, often documented within “history and physical” sections of documentation templates, which describe the presence or absence of a finding or disorder.

This category of IRs is always captured as a post-coordinated expression in the topic field.

Example IR: Weakness of neck

Post-coordination:

[a997cc03-3e99-40eb-833a-6374c7750a3a |Observation procedure (procedure)]

->(363702006 |Has focus (attribute))->[249931001 |Weakness of neck (finding)]

Example IR: Right arm pain

Post-coordination:

[a997cc03-3e99-40eb-833a-6374c7750a3a |Observation procedure (procedure)]-

->(363702006 |Has focus (attribute))->[22253000 |Pain (finding)]-

->(363698007 |Finding site (attribute))->[53120007 |Upper limb structure (body structure)]-

->(272741003 |Laterality (attribute))->[24028007 |Right (qualifier value)];

7.1.2. Unstructured (Request and Performance)

Format: Plain text

Currently used to capture textual information for which there is no model at this time.

7.1.3. statementAssociation.semantic (Request and Performance)

Format: Logical Expression

Terminology: TBD

Currently not in use.

7.1.4. statementAssociation.statementId (Request and Performance)

For use by KNART developers.

7.1.5. Timing (Request and Performance)

The “timing” circumstance has six components:

1. timing.lowerBound

   Format: Number (“float”)
2. timing.upperBound

   Format: Number (“float”)
3. timing.includeLowerBound

   Format: TRUE or FALSE (“Boolean”)
4. timing.includeUpperBound

   Format: TRUE or FALSE (“Boolean”)
5. timing.resolution (optional)

   Format: Number (“float”)
6. timing.measureSemantic

   Format: ISO 8601 Date/Time Format

Timing is used to capture a time or time range for

- Requests for action at a future time
- Performance of action, which has taken place in the past (including “History of X….)

The timing is always expressed as a time or time range relative to the statement time, using the ISO 8601 Date/Time Standard format [4].

If the actual time or time range is not specified in the IR, the following expressions are used:

- ISO 8601 prior to statement time
- ISO 8601 following statement time

If the time or time range is specified in the IR, the expression also follows the ISO 8601 Standard, using the appropriate prefixes for periods of time:

- P for period
- M for months
- W for weeks
- Y for years

Using additional fields in the timing circumstance, depends upon the degree of specificity within the IR.

Example (unspecific): History of breast cancer

Table 2. Timing - unspecific

timing.lowerBound	1
timing.upperBound	inf
timing.includeLowerBound	TRUE
timing.includeUpperBound	FALSE
timing.resolution	
timing.measureSemantic	ISO 8601 prior to statement time

The IR implies:

- Breast cancer was present in the patient's history = timing.lowerBound = 1
- No time range specified = timing.upperBound = inf (infinite)
- There was at least 1 instance = timing.includeLowerBound = TRUE
- "upper bound" is infinite = timing.includeUpperBound = FALSE ("inf" is never included!)
- IR does not specify units of time, e.g. years, months = timing.resolution = blank

Note: The expression of "present" could also be correctly indicated using

timing.lowerBound = 0

timing.includeLowerBound = FALSE

Not including "0" also expresses that there has to be at least "1". However, it is the current agreed policy to use the "1/TRUE" option.

Example (specific range): Anticonvulsant therapy greater than 2 years

Table 3. Timing - specific range

timing.lowerBound	24M
timing.upperBound	inf

[4] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ISO_8601

timing.includeLowerBound	FALSE
timing.includeUpperBound	FALSE
timing.resolution	1M
timing.measureSemantic	ISO 8601 prior to statement time

The IR expresses:

- Anticonvulsant therapy for more than 2 years (24 months) was present in the patient's history = timing.lowerBound = 24M
- No upper time limit specified = timing.upperBound = inf (infinite)
- There was anticonvulsant therapy for more than 24 months = timing.includeUpperBound = FALSE
- Timing.measureSemantic = ISO 8601 prior to statement time
- timing.resolution field:
  - This field is optional, but if a time or time range is specified, the resolution has to be specified.
  - The use depends on the desired granularity of the time increments
  - Some of the reasoning about how to use these fields depends on the clinical relevance.

Example (specific date): Completed Appointed on March 12 2018 with Cardiology

Table 4. Timing - specific date

timing.lowerBound	2018-03-19T12:01
timing.upperBound	2018-03-19T23:59
timing.includeLowerBound	TRUE
timing.includeUpperBound	TRUE
timing.resolution	
timing.measureSemantic	ISO 8601

Note:ISO 8601 uses the 24 hour standard for time of day.

7.1.6. Purpose (Request and Performance)

Format: Logical Expression

Terminology: SNOMED CT

The "purpose" field is used to capture WHY a procedure was requested or performed in a post-coordinated expression, based on two possible procedures:

Evaluation procedure: 386053000 |Evaluation procedure (procedure)|

Therapeutic procedure: 277132007 |Therapeutic procedure (procedure)|

The procedure is refined by post-coordinating with a "363702006 |Has focus (attribute) |" attribute and identifying a finding/disorder or procedure concept as the value for the attribute.

Example IR: Resting 12-lead electrocardiogram to evaluate for arrhythmia

[386053000 |Evaluation procedure (procedure)] ->(363702006 |Has focus (attribute))->[698247007 |Cardiac arrhythmia (disorder)]

Example IR: Naproxen sodium 550 mg tablet oral every 12 hours as needed for back pain 100 tablets 2 refills

[277132007 |Therapeutic procedure (procedure)] ->(363702006 |Has focus (attribute))->[161891005 | Backache (finding)]

IRs can have more than one purpose.

7.1.7. requestedResult (Request and Performance)

The “requestedResult” circumstance has eight components:

1. requestedResult.lowerBound

   Format: Number (“float”)
2. requestedResult.upperBound

   Format: Number (“float”)
3. requestedResult.includeLowerBound

   Format: TRUE or FALSE (“Boolean”)
4. requestedResult.includeUpperBound

   Format: TRUE or FALSE (“Boolean”)
5. requestedResult.resolution (optional)

   Format: Number (“float”)
6. requestedResult.measureSemantic

   Format: Logical Expression
7. requestedResult.healthRisk

   Format: Logical Expression
8. requestedResult.status

   Format: Logical Expression

The “requestedResult” fields 1 – 6 above are used to capture IRs, which

- enumerate what is being requested, e.g. Administration of a medication **1 tablet at a time**
- specify the intended outcome of an action, e.g. Administration of Metoprolol to **achieve systolic BP < 130 mmHg**

Example IR: Metoprolol tartrate 50 mg tablet oral daily 2 times

Table 5. requestedResult - Example 1

requestedResult.lowerBound	1
requestedResult.upperBound	1
requestedResult.includeLowerBound	TRUE
requestedResult.includeUpperBound	TRUE
requestedResult.resolution	
requestedResult.measureSemantic	421026006 |Oral tablet (qualifier value)|

Note: This should not be confused with “frequency”. Although not stated explicitly, it is understood that the IR states: ONE tablet, twice a day.

Example IR: Acetaminophen 325 mg tablet oral two tablets every 6 hours

Table 6. requestedResult - Example 2

requestedResult.lowerBound	2
requestedResult.upperBound	2
requestedResult.includeLowerBound	TRUE
requestedResult.includeUpperBound	TRUE
requestedResult.resolution	
requestedResult.measureSemantic	421026006 |Oral tablet (qualifier value)|

7.1.8. conditionalTrigger (Request)

Format: Logical Expression

Terminology: TBD

Currently not in use.

7.1.9. conditionalTrigger.statementId (Request)

UUID as identifier for the conditionalTrigger statement.

7.1.10. Priority (Request)

Format: Logical Expression

Terminology: SNOMED CT

The priority field captures the standard priorities associated with a request for action, e.g. stat, routine

7.1.11. repetition.period (Request)

The “repetition.period” has twelve components. Six components for the repetition period start and six components for the repetition period duration. The fields are used to capture WHEN a repeated action should start and HOW LONG the requested action should be repeated.

1. repetition.periodStart.lowerBound

   Format: Number (“float”)
2. repetition.periodStart.upperBound

   Format: Number (“float”)
3. repetition.periodStart.includeLowerBound

   Format: TRUE or FALSE (“Boolean”)
4. repetition.periodStart.includeUpperBound

   Format: TRUE or FALSE (“Boolean”)
5. repetition.periodStart.resolution (optional)

   Format: Number (“float”)
6. repetition.periodStart.measureSemantic

   Format: Logical Expression

7.1.11.1. repetition.period components

Example IR: Naproxen sodium 550 mg tablet oral every 12 hours as needed for back pain

Table 7. repetition.period Example

repetition.periodStart.lowerBound	[NOW,NOW] relative to statement time
repetition.periodStart.upperBound	
repetition.periodStart.includeLowerBound	
repetition.periodStart.includeUpperBound	
repetition.periodStart.resolution	
repetition.periodStart.measureSemantic	
repetition.periodDuration.lowerBound	1
repetition.periodDuration.upperBound	inf
repetition.periodDuration.includeLowerBound	TRUE
repetition.periodDuration.includeUpperBound	FALSE
repetition.periodDuration.resolution	1
repetition.periodDuration.measureSemantic	258703001 |day (qualifier value)|

If the IR does not explicitly state a period start time, the default entry in this field is "[NOW,NOW] relative to statement time".

Note:"[NOW,NOW]" is not to be confused with priority "stat". The "NOW" is simply used, where there is not a specified time, e.g. 1 week from now.

If a repetition period start/stop time is specified, the "upper/lower bound" components and the measure-Semantic are used as in all other timing related circumstances.

7.1.11.2. repetition.periodDuration components

Every repetition has a duration, even if it is not explicitly stated in the IR. In the example above, the IR states a frequency (every 12 hours), but not a duration. In these cases it is understood that the duration is "infinite". The same understanding is true for IR statements described as "daily". The "upper/lower bound" components and the "measure.semantic" are used in the same way as in all other timing related circumstances.

Note: The "repetition.periodDuration" fields are currently also used to capture numbers of tablets (or other units) and number of refills, if these are stated in the IR. The tablets/refills are used to calculate how long the administration period can be.

Example IR: Aspirin 81 mg oral tablet daily as needed, 30 tablets, 3 refills

30 tablets + 3 refills = 120 tablets

1 tablet/day = 120 days

repetition.periodDuration.lowerBound	1
repetition.periodDuration.upperBound	120
repetition.periodDuration.includeLowerBound	TRUE
repetition.periodDuration.includeUpperBound	TRUE

repetition.periodDuration.resolution	1
repetition.periodDuration.measureSemantic	258703001 |day (qualifier value)|

7.1.12. repetition.eventFrequency (Request)

This circumstance is used to capture the requested frequency of any repeated action, e.g. 3 times/day, once/week.

The “repetition.eventFrequency” circumstance has six components.

1. repetition.eventFrequency.lowerBound

   Format: Number (“float”)
2. repetition.eventFrequency.upperBound

   Format: Number (“float”)
3. repetition.eventFrequency.includeLowerBound

   Format: TRUE or FALSE (“Boolean”)
4. repetition.eventFrequency.includeUpperBound

   Format: TRUE or FALSE (“Boolean”)
5. repetition.eventFrequency.resolution (optional)

   Format: Number (“float”)
6. repetition.eventFrequency.measureSemantic

   Format: Logical Expression

Example IR: Naproxen 550mg tablet oral every 12 hours

Table 8. repetition.eventFrequency - Example 1

repetition.eventFrequency.lowerBound	12
repetition.eventFrequency.upperBound	12
repetition.eventFrequency.includeLowerBound	TRUE
repetition.eventFrequency.includeUpperBound	TRUE
repetition.eventFrequency.resolution	
repetition.eventFrequency.measureSemantic	258702006 |hour (qualifier value)|

Example IR: Ibuprofen 400 mg tablet oral every 6 hours; may increase dose frequency to one tablet every 4 hours

Table 9. repetition.eventFrequency - Example 2

repetition.eventFrequency.lowerBound	4
repetition.eventFrequency.upperBound	6
repetition.eventFrequency.includeLowerBound	TRUE
repetition.eventFrequency.includeUpperBound	TRUE
repetition.eventFrequency.resolution	
repetition.eventFrequency.measureSemantic	258702006 |hour (qualifier value)|

The “upper/lower bound” components and the measureSemantic are used as in all other timing related circumstances.

7.1.13. repetition.eventSeparation (Request)

Currently not in use.

7.1.14. repetition.eventDuration (Request)

This circumstance will be used to capture, HOW LONG each requested event should last, e.g. “Physical therapy 3 times per week for **1 hour** .

Currently not in use.